Feature Tracking with YAFTA

Feature tracking amounts to, essentially, two tasks:

1. identifying features in an image; and
2. matching identified features between images.

Making feature tracking useful to answer scientific questions imposes an additional task:

3. analysis of tracking results.

YAFTA (Yet Another Feature Tracking Algorithm) is a suite of IDL programs that accomplishes these tasks using an intuitive, modular approach. Though relatively simple, these routines can appear complex to new users. This document aims familiarize the uninitiated with their use. Below, I first outline how each of these three tasks are accomplished, in general terms; then I give specific examples.

The code, supporting software, and electronic versions of this documentation can be downloaded from [http://solarmuri.ssl.berkeley.edu/~welsch/public/software/YAFTA/](http://solarmuri.ssl.berkeley.edu/~welsch/public/software/YAFTA/).

1. Identifying Features

“Features” are collections of pixels in a 2-D, \((N_x, N_y)\) image array that are grouped according to some criterion. YAFTA is optimized for application to magnetograms (line-of-sight, as in Figure 1, or the normal component of vector magnetograms), and groups together collections of pixels that lie on the same “hill” in absolute field strength. (Pixels lying on saddle points are grouped with pixels in the direction of the steepest uphill gradient.)

This grouping is accomplished with a flux-ranked, downhill labelling algorithm (Welsch and Longcope 2003), RANKDOWN.PRO, which generates a label mask. A label mask is an \((N_x, N_y)\) array in which the pixels bear the label of the feature, if any, with which each pixel has been grouped. Pixels below a user-set threshold in flux density are not grouped, and receive a zero label in the mask. YAFTA uses signed masks: the labels in the mask bear the sign of the magnetic flux of the pixels in the group, as in Figure 2. The IDL routine LABEL_REGION.PRO can also be used to group contiguous pixels — i.e., all positive-flux pixels above threshold that touch each other.
Fig. 1.— This contrast-enhanced, grayscale sub-image of an MDI full-disk, line-of-sight magnetogram, taken on 10 May 1997 at 00:04:05 UT, shows the large, positive-flux (white) sunspot in AR 8038, and nearby weaker, negative flux concentrations (black). This figure was generated by DISPLAY_YAFTA.PRO (see Table 2), using the command “display_yafta.image,/aspect, title=‘Data at Step 1’”.
A mask of pixels from the magnetogram image Figure 1 grouped by convexity with `rankdown.pro`. Pixels below a 30G threshold in absolute flux density were not labelled. Negative (positive) pixels’ labels are shown in white (black) for contrast. The minimum absolute flux density threshold passed to `rankdown.pro` is user-set. This figure was generated using the IDL routines `display_yafta.pro` and `display_labels.pro` (see Table 2), using the commands “`display_yafta,image,/aspect,title='Mask at Step 1'`” and “`display_labels,image,mask`”.

Fig. 2.— A mask of pixels from the magnetogram image Figure 1 grouped by convexity with `rankdown.pro`. Pixels below a 30G threshold in absolute flux density were not labelled. Negative (positive) pixels’ labels are shown in white (black) for contrast. The minimum absolute flux density threshold passed to `rankdown.pro` is user-set. This figure was generated using the IDL routines `display_yafta.pro` and `display_labels.pro` (see Table 2), using the commands “`display_yafta,image,/aspect,title='Mask at Step 1'`” and “`display_labels,image,mask`”.

After grouping pixels into features, CREATE_FEATURES.PRO analyzes groupings that match given criteria (minimum number of pixels, or peak field strength above a “peak threshold”), computes various quantities (detailed in Table 1) to characterize each such feature, and stores these quantities in an IDL structure associated with that feature. All the features’ structures from a given step are concatenated in a 1-D array of structures. Figure 3 shows features identified from the mask shown in Figure 2.

2. Matching Features

Matching of features between two successive images is accomplished with MATCH_FEATURES_V*.PRO, which uses overlapping pixels from each image’s mask, and the array of structures from each image, to match features. Features can fragment, merge, appear, and disappear. (While, ideally, each positive-flux feature would appear or disappear simultaneously with an equal amount of negative flux, in practice unipolar features are often observed to appear or disappear without any nearby oppositely-signed counterpart [see Lamb et al. 2008]. This might arise from the coalescence or dispersal of flux across the magnetograph threshold.)

In the matching process, the labels stored in features’ structures in the current time step are changed, as are the corresponding pixels in that time step’s mask, via association with features from the previous step. Figure 4 shows features identified from the next image in the MDI sequence, and Figure 5 shows the relabelled figures after matching with the features in Figure 3.

Each feature’s “source” and “terminous” are recorded in the .src and .trm fields, respectively, of that feature’s structure (see Table 1). During the matching process at a given step, both the .src fields from the current step’s features and the .trm fields from the previous step’s features are updated. Features that do not appear, disappear, fragment, or merge, between steps are termed “one-to-one” matches. These features “propagate” themselves: their .label, .src, and .trm fields are identical.

Usually (depending upon image cadence), most features are matched one-to-one between steps. When a feature fragments into more than one “child,” the largest child (by absolute flux) keeps the label of the “parent.” An analogous procedure is used when many parent features merge into one child: the label of that parent with the greatest flux is passed on to the single child. Figures 3 and 5 show that features 15 and 11, for instance, have merged.

Features in the current step not associated with a feature in the previous step (so neither one-to-one propagation nor fragmentation) are interpreted as appearances, and their .src fields
Fig. 3.— Flux elements, defined by create_features.pro, are outlined by colored contours, with their numerical labels plotted at their magnetic centers-of-flux. Note that pixel groupings identified in Figure 2 with less than 10 pixels are not identified as features. (The minimum-size threshold passed to create_features.pro is user-set.) The negative flux identified as features 22 and 24 probably results from a projection effect — AR 8038 lies to the east of disk center, and the penumbral field of its spot (feature 1) points away from the instrument, and lies essentially tangential to the solar surface. This figure was generated using the IDL routines display_yafta.pro plot_edges.pro, and plot_labels.pro (see Table 2), using the commands “display_yafta,image,/aspect, title=‘Labelled Elements at Step 1’ ” , “plot_edges,mask”, and “plot_labels,features”. 
are set to the negative of the current frame number. (All features from the initial tracking step have .src equal to 0.) Each newly appearing feature should be assigned a unique, new label. (Because labels higher than the maximum label in the most recent previous step might already have been assigned in other previous steps, the highest feature label from all previous tracked steps should be passed into MATCH_FEATURES_v*.pro using the “old_max_label” keyword, as illustrated in the test software.)

In analogy with appearances, features in the previous frame not associated with a feature in the current frame (so neither one-to-one propagation nor merging) are interpreted as disappearances, and their .trm fields are set to the negative of the current frame number. (All features from the final tracking step have .trm set to 0.)

Because the matching process is complex, modifications to the feature matching routine are likely. Hence, each version of this routine carries a version number: MATCH_FEATURES_v01.pro, MATCH_FEATURES_v02.pro, denoted here by MATCH_FEATURES_v*.pro, etc.

3. Tracking “Massifs” Instead of (or In Addition to?) Hills

Besides tracking “hills” in absolute field strength, YAFTA can instead (or also) group all contiguous, same-sign pixels above threshold into features — in which case, each feature might contain several hills, and might therefore be termed a “massif” (Parnell et al. 2009). For this purpose, YAFTA uses the program CONTIGUOUS_MASK.PRO to label pixels instead of RANKDOWN.PRO; basically, CONTIGUOUS_MASK.PRO is a wrapper that call’s IDL’s LABEL_REGION.PRO to group contiguous pixels (two calls are made in the case of signed data). Once pixels are labelled, features are still created and associated using CREATE_FEATURES.PRO and MATCH_FEATURES_v*.PRO, as described above.

In fact, it is possible to track both hills and massifs, using a “dual mask” approach. A routine to do this with test data is included in the YAFTA package, in YAFTA_DUALMASK.PRO. Sample output from this procedure is also included. Briefly, both downhill and contiguous versions of both masks and features are created and tracked, with the “other” feature label(s) stored in string variables in the “alt_mask” fields of each version’s (downhill or contiguous) features’ structures. Tracking both types of masks also requires an additional procedure: the two simultaneous masks must be reconciled after each tracking step, which is accomplished with MATCH_MASKS.PRO.
Fig. 4.— Features identified in the corresponding sub-region of the next MDI full-disk magnetogram, taken 96 minutes later. These features have not been matched with those in Figure 3.
Fig. 5.— Relabelled features from Figure 4 after applying MATCH_FEATURES_v01.PRO, to identify corresponding features between Figures 3 and 4. Comparison of this image with Figure 3 shows that some features remain essentially unchanged (2,3,21, etc.), while others merge (e.g., 15 and 11), appear (27), or disappear (5, 26). No features fragment over this time interval. The slow image cadence has allowed relatively large changes in the features’ structures to occur between tracking steps.
4. Nuking Features

If, for whatever reason, one wants to prevent some identified features from being tracked, one must both remove these feature labels from the label mask arrays, and remove these features’ structures from the 1-D arrays of features.

5. Analyzing Tracking Results

Feature tracking results can be analyzed in many ways, so I only present a few examples here. In Table 2, I list the codes used directly for tracking, and some codes that are useful for displaying results. (Comment lines in these codes give examples of their use.) In order for these codes to run correctly, IDL must call these non-standard routines, so make sure these routines are in the file path IDL will search. In Table 1, I describe the quantities stored by create_features.pro for each feature at each time step.

I have also provided several IDL files for tutorial purposes. The main level IDL code YAFTA_test.pro loads in data from YAFTA_input.sav, and runs the tracking routines, and generates the output data stored in YAFTA_output.sav. By restoring YAFTA_output.sav, one can emulate the commands below. To facilitate entering these commands in IDL, I have stored them in a separate file, YAFTA_IDL.pro, stripped of any text except for comment lines.

- To find the structures associated with a feature, say the feature labelled # 8, and plot the feature’s unsigned flux as a function of time, one could use

```idl
IDL> index8 = where(features.label eq 8, n8)
IDL> if n8 ne 0 then flux8 = features(index8).phi
IDL> if n8 ne 0 then step8 = features(index8).step
IDL> if n8 ne 0 then plot, step8, flux8
```

This could be done another way with less code,

```idl
IDL> index8 = where(features.label eq 8, n8)
IDL> if n8 ne 0 then feat8 = features(index8)
IDL> if n8 ne 0 then plot, feat8.step, feat8.phi
```

To plot the signed flux, one can multiply the .phi field by the .sign field,

```idl
IDL> if n8 ne 0 then plot, feat8.step, feat8.phi*feat8.sign
```
A feature that first appears at step $t = k$ where no features previously existed (and is therefore not a result of fragmentation [see below]) has $-k$ in its source field. (All features from the initial tracking step have .src set to 0.) Analogously, features that disappear at a step $t = k$ have .trm set to $-k$. (All features from the final tracking step have .trm set to 0.) To find appearances, and calculate their contribution to the average flux (excluding all features from the first step), one could use:

```
IDL> apps = where(features.src lt 0, n_apps)
IDL> if n_apps ne 0 then app_flux = total(features(apps).phi)
IDL> flux = total(not_first.phi)
IDL> if n_apps ne 0 then print, app_flux, flux
```

A feature at step $t = k$ that derived from a feature from step $t = k - 1$ (either as a one-to-one match, or as a fragment) has the label of the feature from $t = k - 1$ in its .src field. In a fragmentation, though, only the largest fragment (by flux) keeps its .src label, so fragments can be found by searching for .src fields that are positive and differ from .label fields. (Recall that all features from the initial step have a zero in their .src fields!) So, to find the average unsigned flux contained in a fragment, use:

```
IDL> where_frag = where(features.label ne features.src and $features.src gt 0, n_frags)
IDL> if n_frags ne 0 then frags = features(where_frag)
IDL> if n_frags ne 0 then frag_flux = total(frags.phi)/n_frags
```

This can be compared to the average unsigned flux of all elements,

```
IDL> avg_flux = total(features.phi)/n_elements(features.phi)
IDL> print,'Avg. Unsigned Flux in All Features, and in Fragments:
IDL> print,avg_flux,frag_flux
```

The 1-D pixel addresses of each feature are also stored in that feature’s structure (as a string variable), and can be used to visualize a feature’s shape at a given step.

```
IDL> mask_str = features(13).mask_str
IDL> addresses = long(strsplit(mask_str,/extract))
IDL> newmask = all_masks(*,*,0)
IDL> newmask(*,*) = 0
IDL> newmask(addresses) = 13
```
IDL> display_yafta, img, /aspect ; first plot background image  
IDL> plot_edges, newmask

This “feature mask” can also be visualized in isolation,  
IDL> display_yafta, newmask, /asp

and even dilated, using IDL’s DILATE.PRO,  
IDL> dilmask = newmask  
IDL> dilmask(addresses) = 10  
IDL> s1 = replicate(1,3,3) ; dilates to nearest neighbors  
IDL> dilate1 = 9*fix(dilate(dilmask, s1))  
IDL> s2 = replicate(1,5,5) ; dilates to 2 nearest neighbors  
IDL> dilate2 = 8*fix(dilate(dilmask, s2))  
IDL> display_yafta, dilmask+dilate1+dilate2, /asp  
IDL> plot_edges, newmask

One useful application of YAFTA (and feature tracking in general) is to study the process of cancellation. Perhaps the first step in using YAFTA for cancellation analysis is to determine when cancellation is occurring. The IDL program FIND_NEARBY.PRO provides a potentially useful scheme, as outlined below, for finding closely spaced pairs of oppositely signed features.

1. Input the array of feature structures and mask from one or more time steps.

2. For each time step, construct a “dilated mask” of negative features, using IDL’s DILATE.PRO to dilate the mask of each negative feature, and combining them into a single mask. The number of pixels by which to dilate is a free parameter. (Since dilated feature masks can overlap with masks from other features [dilated or otherwise], pixel labels that conflict with previously assigned labels are not assigned.)

3. Loop over all positive-flux features from the same time step.

4. In the loop, dilate each feature’s mask, and multiply the result by the dilated negative mask. If the product array of the dilated masks contains non-zero elements, then the masks overlap, and overlapping labels and pixels can be found.

5. Record either the indices (default) or labels of the overlapping features in one array, and the corresponding overlapping pixel addresses (as character strings) in another.
This routine only *identifies* cases in which the dilated masks overlap; determining whether cancellation actually occurred during that step or not is a different matter. Other criteria for cancellation need to be applied at this point, e.g.,

- Do the features’ absolute fluxes decline at that step? If so, by how much?
- Do the features’ centers-of-flux approach each other?

Notably, both of these criteria can be undermined by evolution away from the site of overlap — e.g., by merging with a same-sign feature away from the overlapping region. Further analysis of cancellation requires more detailed examination of the features identified by FIND_NEARBY.PRO.

### 6. Known Problems & Bugs

New users should be aware of these programs’ potential shortcomings.

1. When run at the main program level (as in YAFTA_TEST.PRO), these routines create variables that are not destroyed after program completion. Consequently, errors can be encountered running these procedures repeatedly in the same IDL session without first deleting or renaming variables. Alternatively, these programs can be incorporated in a procedure, which will destroy local variables upon completion.

2. A bug exists on some architectures (so far, only DEC stations, c. 2002), in which IDL will not concatenate anonymous structures with identical fields, as done in CREATE_FEATURES.PRO. One easy work around is to use named structures instead. To do so, modify one line of code in CREATE_FEATURES.PRO, replacing

   ```idl```
   ```
   feature = {label:label,j,⋯
   ```
   ```
   ```

   with

   ```idl```
   ```
   feature = {feature,label:label,j,⋯
   ```
   ```
   ```

### 7. Further Reading

In addition to feature tracking references already cited, other studies using feature tracking techniques have been published. DeForest *et al.* (2007) compared several feature tracking
algorithms. Longcope et al. (2007) found that problems with feature identity preservation in low cadence data could be overcome by: identifying features in a “master” image, averaged over the whole data set; and/or advecting feature boundaries with flow determined by local correlation tracking (LCT; see, e.g., Fisher and Welsch 2008).

8. Recent Changes to YAFTA

- **YAFTA_10**: Added comments about use of “old_max_label” keyword into header of `match_features_v01.pro`, as well as this document. Also included explicit use of this keyword in `YAFTA_test.pro` and `YAFTA_dualmask.pro`.

- **YAFTA_09**: Renamed `YAFTA_display.pro` to `display_yafta.pro`, to avoid compilation problems with mixed CAPS in filenames. Also renamed `tvread.pro` to `tvread_yafta.pro`, to avoid conflict with other versions of this routine (one of which is distributed with SSWIDL). Altered the IDL programs that call these two routines accordingly, and modified documentation to reflect these changes.

- **YAFTA_08**: Fixed a bug in `create_features.pro`; it was neglecting to create one feature in each frame. Added a function to create simple, fake data sets, `make_test_data.pro`.

- **YAFTA_07**: Fixed a bug in `alt_mask.pro`; it failed to output mask when /unipolar was set. Renamed it `contiguous_mask.pro`, and changed call in `YAFTA_dualmask.pro`.

- **YAFTA_06**: Included keyword to force color selection in `plot_edges.pro`, then fixed a bug introduced in the process.

- **YAFTA_05**: Fixed a bug in `create_features.pro`; incorrect indexing led to erroneous computation of velocity moments with LCT flows were also input.

- Since v.04, a YAFTA-specific version of Fen Tamanaha’s ”display.pro” has been used with YAFTA, called `YAFTA_display.pro`.
Table 1: A list of some fields\(^1\) in each feature’s IDL structure, generated by `CREATE_FEATURES.PRO`

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>label</td>
<td>longword integer label, (i); changes when matching occurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>size</td>
<td>integer # of pixels grouped into feature (i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>step</td>
<td>integer tracking step at which this structure was created</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>src</td>
<td>integer, (i)’s source, from matching: if negative, appeared at step ((-\text{src})); if positive, label of parent feature from previous step (^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trm</td>
<td>integer, (i)’s terminus, from matching: if negative, disappeared at step ((-\text{src})); if positive, label of child feature from next step (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sign</td>
<td>integer +/- 1 gives (i)’s polarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phi</td>
<td>(\text{abs(signal)} \times \text{pixel area, } dA, \text{ summed over } i)’s (N_i) pixels, (\Phi_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_i}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maxb</td>
<td>absolute max. field in feature; useful for dual thresholding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>(i)’s flux-weighted average (x) coord., (x_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} x_j B_j\right) dA/\Phi_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>(i)’s flux-weighted average (x) coord., (y_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} y_j B_j\right) dA/\Phi_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mask_str</td>
<td>string variable containing feature’s pixel addresses, retrieve via (\text{addresses} = \text{long(strsplit(mask_str/extract)) in IDL})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x2</td>
<td>2nd moment of spatial distribution, (x_{2_i} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (x_i - x_j)^2 B_j\right) dA/\Phi_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y2</td>
<td>2nd moment of spatial distribution, (y_{2_i} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (y_i - y_j)^2 B_j\right) dA/\Phi_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xy</td>
<td>2nd moment of spatial distribution, (x y_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (x_i - x_j)(y_i - y_j) B_j\right) dA/\Phi_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vx</td>
<td>given LCT velocities, (\text{vx is } i)’s avg. horizontal velocity, (v x_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} v x_j B_j\right) dA/\Phi_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vx2</td>
<td>given LCT velocities, (v x_{2_i} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (v x_i - v x_j)^2 B_j\right) dA/\Phi_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vy</td>
<td>given LCT velocities, (\text{vy is } i)’s avg. vertical velocity, (v y_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} v y_j B_j\right) dA/\Phi_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vy2</td>
<td>given LCT velocities, (v y_{2_i} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (v y_i - v y_j)^2 B_j\right) dA/\Phi_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vxvy</td>
<td>given LCT velocities, (v x v y_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (v x_i - v x_j)(v y_i - v y_j) B_j\right) dA/\Phi_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alt_label</td>
<td>string variable containing label(s) of alternate feature labels (downhill for contiguous, and vice versa), used with downhill + contiguous tracking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Additional fields exist, but are not described here. See `CREATE_FEATURES.PRO`
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Table 2: IDL routines in YAFTA package

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDL Program</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RANKDOWN.PRO</td>
<td>downhill method to groups pixels into features, generates mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTIGUOUS_MASK.PRO</td>
<td>groups contiguous pixels into features, and creates a mask; used either instead of or in addition to RANKDOWN.PRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAD_ARRAY.PRO</td>
<td>called by RANKDOWN.PRO, pads an input array with rows &amp; columns of zeros; required to include edge pixels in features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREATE_FEATURES.PRO</td>
<td>analyzes features, creates structure for each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATCH_FEATURESv_01.PRO</td>
<td>matches features between time steps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISPLAY_YAFTA.PRO</td>
<td>a renamed copy of DISPLAY.PRO, a wrapper for IDL’s TVSCL.PRO; generates images (with titles, proper aspect ratio, etc.) easily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMGSC1_FEN_YAFTA.PRO</td>
<td>called by DISPLAY_YAFTA.PRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMGEXP_YAFTA.PRO</td>
<td>called by DISPLAY_YAFTA.PRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISPLAY_LABELS.PRO</td>
<td>overlays mask’s labels, via xyouts, on displayed image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVREAD_YAFTA.PRO</td>
<td>reads current IDL window into various graphics file formats; written by D.Fanning, <a href="http://www.dfanning.com">http://www.dfanning.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLOT_EDGES.PRO</td>
<td>overlays features’ outlines, colored by label, on displayed image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLOT_LABELS.PRO</td>
<td>overlays features’ labels, colored by label, on displayed image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIND_CANCEL.PRO</td>
<td>analyzes YAFTA results to find cancellations, and estimates cancelled flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAKE_TEST_DATA.PRO</td>
<td>makes a 2D image containing simple Gaussian intensity variations; this “synthetic data” is useful for testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAFTA_INPUT.SAV</td>
<td>IDL save file of MDI full-disk images of AR 8038, to test YAFTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAFTA_TEST.PRO</td>
<td>main level IDL routine that tracks data from YAFTA_INPUT.SAV, and stores results in YAFTA_OUTPUT.SAV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAFTA_OUTPUT.SAV</td>
<td>IDL save file generated by YAFTA_TEST.PRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAFTA_IDL.PRO</td>
<td>main level IDL code, extracted from text of this document, for easily entering IDL commands above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAFTA_DUALMASK.PRO</td>
<td>main level IDL routine that tracks both “hills” and “massifs” (see §3); input is YAFTA_INPUT.SAV, and results are in YAFTA_DUALMASK_OUT.SAV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAFTA_DUALMASK_OUT.SAV</td>
<td>IDL save file generated by YAFTA_DUALMASK.PRO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>